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Medicaid Incentives to Quit
Summary

Introduction: California, Pioneer in Tobacco Control

Steven A. Schroeder, MD
Distinguished Professor of Health and Health Care
Department of Medicine, UCSF
Director, Smoking Cessation Leadership Center

3/12/2019
Low Smoking Rates in California

- Adult smoking prevalence 10.5%, trailing only Utah, which has 50% Mormon population
- But because of huge population (almost 40 million), still largest # of smokers of any state—3.2 million
- Affordable Care Act expanded the # of Medicaid (Medi-Cal in CA) smokers from 738,000 in 2011-2012 to 1,448,000 smokers by 2016
- This means that 41.5% of CA smokers are Medicaid recipients
CA’s Pioneering Tobacco Control Efforts

- 1989—First state wide comprehensive tobacco control program
- 1993—First statewide toll-free telephone Quitline
- 1994—First statewide clean indoor air law
- 2007—City of Belmont prohibited smoking in all multi-unit housing. 51 additional jurisdictions followed suit over next decade
- 2008—San Francisco banned tobacco sales in pharmacies; legal challenge failed to overthrow the ban
Pioneering CA efforts (2)

- 2015—San Francisco bans tobacco use in baseball parks. Other major league teams in state followed suit.
- 2016—Second state (after HI) to restrict tobacco sales to ages 21 and over.
- 2017—Several cities restricted or banned the sale of menthol-flavored tobacco products.
- 2017—Several municipalities banned smoking on public beaches.
- 2017—San Francisco followed two other counties in banning all flavored tobacco products. Decision ratified in 2018 public referendum.
- All the actions supported by strong grassroots and agency advocacy pressures.
Reasons for Not Helping Patients Quit

1. Too busy
2. Lack of expertise
3. No financial incentive
4. Lack of available treatments and/or coverage
5. Most smokers can’t/won’t quit
6. Stigmatizing smokers
7. Respect for privacy
8. Negative message might scare away patients
9. I smoke myself
10. Electronic medical record system problems (e.g. EPIC)
• Impact on priority populations
• Effect of incentives on direct-to-member demand
• Direct-to-member mailing methodology
• Building CA Quits
Medi-Cal Incentives to Quit Smoking Project: Impact of Statewide Outreach through Health Channels

Elisa Tong, MD, MA
Associate Professor of Medicine
University of California, Davis Health

The project described was supported by Funding Opportunity Number 1B1CMS330901 from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. The contents provided are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Department of Health and Human Services or any of its agencies. The results presented have not been verified by the independent evaluation contract.
Objectives

• MIQS Project background

• Statewide outreach

• Quitline caller trends
  – Total calls and incentives
  – Caller characteristics
  – Expected calls for Medi-Cal growth
  – Compare Non-Medi-Cal: Reach & Referral Source

• Discussion
Medi-Cal: High Smoking Rates

Smoking prevalence
- 3.8 M smokers in CA
- 18.7% Medi-Cal vs. 11.5% private insurance (CHIS 2011-2012)

Variation across counties
- Sacramento: 31.3%
- Rural counties: 40-45%

Medi-Cal expansion
- 1 in 3 people in CA
  - Now 40% of CA smokers
Medicaid Incentives to Prevent Chronic Disease Program

Funded by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS)
- 10 states awarded funding through ACA
- 2011-2016
- Priorities: Tobacco, Obesity, Diabetes

Economic incentives work **73%** of the time on changing consumers’ preventive behavior *(Kane AJPM 2004)*
- Reviewed 47 randomized controlled trials
- Best with short-term, well-defined goals
- Little evidence for Medicaid
Medi-Cal Incentives to Quit Smoking (MIQS) Project Team

GOAL: Increase Medi-Cal calls to the California Smokers’ Helpline to 75,000 (50% increase) with 25,000 earning incentives over 4 years

Neal Kohatsu
Medical Director (Retired), CA Department of Health Care Services
Lead PI

Elisa Tong, UCD
Statewide Outreach PI

Shu-Hong Zhu, UCSD
Helpline PI

Wendy Max, UCSF
Cost-Effectiveness PI
California Smokers’ Helpline

Free telephone counseling operated by UC San Diego

• 30 minute session and follow-up sessions with a counselor

• Doubles the chances of long-term quitting (Zhu, NEJM 2002)

• Over 25 years in operation
  • National: 1800-QUIT-NOW
MIQS Incentives:
Financial and Medication Incentives

2012
Callers “Ask and Earn” $20 Gift Card from the Helpline

2013
Helpline “Asks and Sends” Free Nicotine Patches to Callers

* Must have verified 14-digit ID BIC to receive incentives
MIQS Outreach Materials:
Providers, Plans, Partners

*All-Household Mailings: Nicotine Patch Only
Timeline of MIQS Incentives & Outreach

- Year 1:
  - March 12
  - June 12
  - September 12
  - December 12
  - March 13
  - June 13

- Year 2:
  - September 13
  - December 13
  - March 14
  - June 14
  - September 14

- Year 3:
  - December 14
  - March 15
  - June 15

- Year 4:
  - March 15
  - June 15

- Incentives:
  - Callers may ask for $20 gift card
  - Callers may receive nicotine patches

- Statewide Outreach:
  - Pilot
  - Targeted County Outreach
  - Mailings to Providers
  - AHM #2 and #3
  - Other Medi-Cal plan mailings
  - Community consultants

- CDC Media Campaign:
  - CDC TIPS
  - CDC TIPS
  - CDC TIPS
  - CDC TIPS
Medi-Cal Calls During MIQS
(March 2012 - July 2015)

Total Medi-Cal Calls: 92,900
  – 70% increase from prior years
  – Completed Counseling
    • 62,232 (67.0%)

Incentives: 58,762
  – Asked for $20 Incentive
    • 11,523 (12.4%)
      – Among Completed Counseling (15.5%)
  – Sent nicotine patch
    • 47,239 (73.3% when available)
Who Were these Medi-Cal Callers?

**Medi-Cal Callers**

**Demographics**
- About half were 45-64 yrs, female, non-Latino white, high school degree or less
- African Americans: 1 in 5 and Latinos: 1 in 6
- Live with child ≤ 5 yrs: 1 in 6 or pregnant (n=1150)

**Health conditions**
- 40% HTN, anxiety, or depression
- 10-20% diabetes, bipolar, alcohol/drug use, schizophrenia

**Activated Medi-Cal Callers**

**Higher “ask for $20” rates**
- African Americans
- American Indians
- Pregnant women
- Gay/lesbian/bisexuals
- Behavioral health
- Referred by nonprofit

**Higher “all-household mailing”**
- Older age, males, whites
- College grads
- Latinos, Spanish-language line
Medi-Cal Monthly Calls Increased

All-Household Mailing Calls = 16,103 (17.3%)

(Among “Completed Counseling”: 13.6%)
Comparing Expected Medi-Cal & Non-Medi-Cal Calls:

23% Above Expected for Population Growth

START MIQS OUTREACH:
Medi-Cal calls represent almost half of Helpline calls.
Percent change in Medi-Cal calls per month does not change significantly: -0.3% (95% CI -1.8 to 1.3) and per Medi-Cal eligible: -1.1% (95% CI -2.6 to 0.5)

Jul 2014: Medi-Cal calls decrease after peak. Percent change in Medi-Cal calls per month rate decrease: -7.5% (95% CI -9.0 to -6.0) and per Medi-Cal eligible: -8.2% (95% CI -9.7 to -6.7).

Oct 2013: Medi-Cal calls markedly increase above expected and non-Medi-Cal.
Percent change in Medi-Cal calls per month rate increase: 13.5% (95% CI 8.5 to 18.7) and per Medi-Cal eligible: 9.7% (95% CI 4.9 to 14.7)

END MIQS OUTREACH:
Medi-Cal calls decline 47% below expected after incentives end, but still above non-Medi-Cal.
# Comparing Medi-Cal vs. Non-Medi-Cal Calls

## REACH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Medi-Cal (%, 95% CI)</th>
<th>Non-Medi-Cal (%, 95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011 (pre-MIQS)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.1-2.6)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5-0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2.8 (2.4-3.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6-0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3.1 (2.5-3.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.7-0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.5 (3.6-5.3)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4-0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.8 (1.6-2.1)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2-0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reach = Helpline Callers / Smoker Population in California Health Interview Survey

## REFERRAL SOURCE

\[ p \text{ value } < 0.0001 \]
Discussion

MIQS outreach increased utilization and reach of quitline
  – Doubled the reach among Medi-Cal smokers
  – 70% increase from prior years; above expected for growth

MIQS outreach channels
  – Providers and plan mailings: important referral sources
  – Higher “ask for $20” rates in some subgroups

MIQS incentives
  – “Ask for $20” incentive limitations; may be underreported
  – “Free” nicotine patch promotion alone quite significant

→ How do we implement this for sustainability?
The Team

- UCSD
  - Christopher Anderson
  - Sharon Cummins
  - Anthony Gamst
  - Carrie Kirby
  - Shu-Hong Zhu

- DHCS
- UCSF
- UCD
Background

• FDA-approved quitting aids (e.g., NRT, varenicline) can help smokers quit
  • But smokers mostly do not use them

• Telephone counseling, especially a multiple-session counseling program, can help smokers quit
  • But smokers often do not stay with the program
Research Questions

• Will sending nicotine patches directly to smokers help them quit?

• Can financial incentives help smokers better adhere to counseling program, and increase their quit rate?
  – The incentives are for increasing program adherence, and are not contingent on success in quitting smoking
Two Levels of Financial Incentives

• Incentive to call the California Smokers’ Helpline (promotion)
  – A $20 incentive to enroll in the Helpline
  – Dr. Elisa Tong’s presentation

• Incentive to adhere to the multiple-session counseling program
  – $20 first session, and $10 for each of 4 follow-up sessions
  – Only the second incentive was tested for its effectiveness in the randomized trial for smoking cessation
1. Usual Care: free counseling, certificate of enrollment for NRT with MD prescription (requires going to the pharmacy)

2. Nicotine Patches: free counseling, NRT shipped directly, no limit on # of times

3. Nicotine Patches + Financial Incentive: free counseling, NRT shipped directly, no limit on # of times, $20 for completing a first counseling session and $10 for each completed relapse-prevention counseling session (up to 4)
Telephone Counseling

• One comprehensive session before the quit attempt
• Up to four sessions after the quit attempt.
  – The callers can receive more sessions if they so desire or the counselor decides it is beneficial
  – The $ incentives are there for keeping appointments for the follow up sessions, but the first gift card is sent after the first completed session.
Relapse-Sensitive Scheduling

Eligibility Criteria

- Adult daily smoker
- English or Spanish speaker
- Verified Medi-Cal beneficiary
- If potential contraindications to NRT, needed MD approval
## Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% Usual Care</th>
<th>% Nicotine Patches (NP)</th>
<th>% NP + Financial Incentives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=1,004</td>
<td>N=1,405</td>
<td>N=1,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes per day (mean)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Quitting Aids Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quitting Aids Used by 7 Month Eval. (complete case)</th>
<th>Usual Care (N=639)</th>
<th>Nicotine Patch (N=919)</th>
<th>Patch + $ Incentive (N=958)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patches (%)</td>
<td>51.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>86.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum (%)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lozenge (%)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion (%)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varenicline (%)</td>
<td>15.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All quitting aids (%)</td>
<td>72.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>92.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscripts indicates significant difference
## Counseling Received from the Helpline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counseling Received</th>
<th>Usual Care (N=1,004)</th>
<th>Nicotine Patch (N=1,405)</th>
<th>Patch + $ Incentive (N=1,407)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% First call</td>
<td>93.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20 incentive for 1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; call</td>
<td>19.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up calls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 calls</td>
<td>18.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 call</td>
<td>12.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 calls</td>
<td>12.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 calls</td>
<td>15.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4 calls</td>
<td>41.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean #</td>
<td>5.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median #</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscripts indicate significant difference.
## Counseling Received from the Helpline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counseling Received</th>
<th>Usual Care (N=936)</th>
<th>Nicotine Patch (N=1,263)</th>
<th>Patch + $ Incentive (N=1,343)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% First call</td>
<td>93.2(^a)</td>
<td>89.9(^b)</td>
<td>95.5(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20 incentive for 1(^{st}) call</td>
<td>19.7(^a)</td>
<td>21.3(^a)</td>
<td>95.5(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incentives for follow-up calls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscripts indicates significant difference
Quit Attempt Made by 2 Month Evaluation
(Complete Case Analysis)

Usual Care Nicotine Patches Patch + $ Incentives

- 69.2
- 77.5
- 84.2
Quit Attempt Made by 2 Month Evaluation (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

- Usual Care: 54.3%
- Nicotine Patches: 60.2%
- Patch + $ Incentives: 68.4%
6-Month Prolonged Abstinence Rates (180 day) (Complete Case Analysis)

Different superscripts indicates significant difference.
6-Month Prolonged Abstinence Rates (180 day) (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

Usual Care: 9.0<sup>a</sup>
Nicotine Patches: 10.3<sup>a</sup>
Patch + $ Incentives: 13.2<sup>b</sup>

Different superscripts indicates significant difference
Summary of Results

• Participants were very motivated
  • Many in the UC condition used quitting aids
  • Most made a quit attempt
• Mailing nicotine patches increased the quit attempt, but not the long term success as most in the usual care condition used quitting aids.
• Monetary incentives led to better adherence to the multiple-session counseling program
• The better program adherence is associated with a greater long term success rate
Implications for Implementation

• A small amount of monetary incentive may be a cost-effective way of helping Medicaid smokers quit (Dr. Sung’s talk).

• Incentives for program adherence can be a more practical way of implementing a reward system for positive behavioral change.
Thank you!
Economic Impact of Financial Incentives and Mailing Nicotine Patches to Help Medicaid Smokers Quit Smoking: a Cost–Benefit Analysis

Hi-Yen Sung, PhD
Professor of Health Economics
University of California, San Francisco

Smoking Cessation Leadership Center (SCLC) Webinar
March 12, 2019
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Plan for Today

• Background
• Study aims
• Cost-benefit analysis methods
  – Evaluation scenarios
  – Outcome measures
  – Calculation of costs
  – Estimation of benefits
• Results
• Discussion
The results from the MIQS RCT on smoking cessation showed that providing both financial incentives (FI) and nicotine patches (NP) to Medicaid smokers who called the quitline is an effective treatment strategy to increase quit rates.

However, it is unknown whether this treatment strategy is cost effective or cost saving.
Study Aims

• To conduct a cost-benefit analysis of integrating FI and NP in a real-world quitline setting for the Medicaid population

• To assess whether the costs of the intervention can be justified by the value ($) of the benefits it provides
Cost-Benefit Analysis Methods: Evaluation Scenarios

• Scenario 1: Compare the usual care plus providing both incentives and patches (i.e., UC+FI+NP) treatment with the usual care alone (i.e., UC) treatment.

• Scenario 2: Compare the UC+FI+NP treatment with the UC+NP treatment.
Cost-Benefit Analysis Methods: Outcome Measures

- **Incremental cost:** (cost of treatment X) – (cost of treatment Y)
- **Incremental benefit:** (benefit of treatment X) – (benefit of treatment Y)
- **Net savings:** (incremental benefit) – (incremental cost)
- **Benefit-cost ratio:** (incremental benefit) / (incremental cost)
Cost-Benefit Analysis Methods: Calculation of Costs

Pharmacotherapy
- Patch (6-week at $81)
- Gum (6-week at $116)
- Lozenge (6-week at $146)
- Bupropion (6-week at $227)
- Varenicline (6-week at 499)

Incentives for counseling
- $20 gift card for first call
- Incentives for follow-up calls:
  - 1 call ($10)
  - 2 calls ($20)
  - 3 calls ($30)
  - ≥ 4 calls ($40)

Counseling
- First call (30 min, $70/call)
- Follow-up calls (5-10 min, $40/call)

Other cost
- Postage per gift card ($3)

The average cost of providing cessation services per participant is derived by applying the unit cost shown above to the percentage of participants who used the corresponding type of services.
Cost-Benefit Analysis Methods: Estimation of Benefits

• Benefit is defined as the averted future healthcare (HC) expenditures due to quitting smoking
  – adjusting for additional HC expenditures for quitters who live longer and experience normal aging-related costs

• Future HC expenditures are estimated under each cessation treatment using the Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) Policy Model
  – a computer simulation, state-transition (Markov) model of coronary heart disease and stroke incidence, prevalence, mortality, and HC costs
    • inpatient costs for acute CVD events and procedures
    • total HC costs for chronic CVD, non-CVD diseases, and injuries
  – originally designed for U.S. adults aged 35 years and older

• Study cohort: California Medicaid enrollees aged 35-64 in 2014 (n=2,452,000)
  – Among them, 478,336 were active smokers
Cost-Benefit Analysis Methods: Estimation of Benefits (cont.)

Input parameters of the CVD Policy Model:

- 180-day continuous abstinence rates (from the MIQS RCT)
- Relapse rates after 180-day abstinence (17.7% in year 1, 11.4% in year 2, ... and 0% after year 10)
- Time horizon of simulation (10 years)
- Discount rate (3%)
- HC costs were converted to 2015 dollars
- Assumed average annual HC costs per CVD event/procedure or per person remain constant through future years
- Incidence of heart disease, stroke, and non-CVD death (derived from Framingham data, and adjusted by the CVD risk factors for California Medicaid population)
- Prevalence of smoking, overweight/obesity, diabetes, and pre-existing CVD among Medicaid adults (2013-2014 CHIS data)
- Other CVD risk factors (2011-2016 NHANES data for adults of low SES)
Results: Costs of Cessation Services (cohort: 478,336 smokers)

Average cessation cost per participant

- UC+FI+NP: $464
- UC+NP: $364
- UC: $361

$ Dollars
Results: Costs of Cessation Services (cohort: 478,336 smokers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average cessation cost per participant</th>
<th>Total cessation cost for all participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC+FI+NP</td>
<td>UC+FI+NP $222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC+NP</td>
<td>UC+NP $174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>UC $173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ Dollars

$ Millions

X 478,336 smokers
Results: Costs of Cessation Services (cohort: 478,336 smokers)

Average cessation cost per participant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cost (in dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC+FI+NP</td>
<td>$464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC+NP</td>
<td>$364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>$361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total cessation cost for all participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cost (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC+FI+NP</td>
<td>$222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC+NP</td>
<td>$174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>$173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenario 1 incremental “costs” of cessation services

\[
\text{Scenario 1} = (\text{costs for UC+FI+NP}) - (\text{costs for UC}) \\
= $222 \text{ million} - $173 \text{ million} \\
= $49 \text{ million}
\]

Scenario 2 incremental “costs” of cessation services

\[
\text{Scenario 2} = (\text{costs for UC+FI+NP}) - (\text{costs for UC+NP}) \\
= $48 \text{ million}
\]
Results: Projected Benefits* after Cessation (cohort: 478,336 smokers)

180-day continuous abstinence rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC+FI+NP</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC+NP</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In 2015 dollars
Results: Projected Benefits* after Cessation (cohort: 478,336 smokers)

180-day continuous abstinence rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC+Fl+ NP</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC+NP</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projected HC expenditure* in next 10 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>$ Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC+Fl+ NP</td>
<td>$28,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC+NP</td>
<td>$28,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>$28,162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CVD Policy Model

*In 2015 dollars
Scenario 1 incremental “benefit” of smoking cessation

\[= (\text{projected exp for UC+FI+NP}) - (\text{projected exp for UC})\]

\[= \$28,068 \text{ million} - \$28,162 \text{ million}\]

\[= -\$94 \text{ million} \quad \text{(Note: “–” sign means savings)}\]

Scenario 2 incremental “benefit” of smoking cessation

\[= (\text{projected exp for UC+FI+NP}) - (\text{projected exp for UC+NP})\]

\[= -\$63 \text{ million} \quad \text{(Note: “–” sign means savings)}\]
Cost-Benefit Analysis for Scenario 1

Scenario 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ Millions</th>
<th>cost</th>
<th>benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$49</td>
<td>$94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net savings = $94 - $49 = $44 million

Benefit-cost ratio = $94 / $49 = 1.90

Outcome measures:

- Net savings: Greater than 0
- Benefit-cost ratio: Greater than 1

Compared to UC alone, adding both FI and NP is cost saving within 10 years.
Cost-Benefit Analysis for Scenario 2

Scenario 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ Millions</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>$48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net savings = $63 - $48 = $15 million
Benefit-cost ratio = $63 / $48 = 1.30

Greater than 0
Greater than 1

Compared to adding only NP, adding both FI and NP is a cost saving within 10 years.
These results consistently show BCR > 1, indicating that compared to UC alone, adding both FI and NP is cost saving.
Discussion

• This study found that providing modest FI and mailing NP directly to Medicaid smokers who call the quitline is cost saving.

• Although this cessation intervention would incur a one-time cost, the averted healthcare expenditures due to more quitters will accumulate quickly and exceed the one-time cost within 5 years:
  – The net savings and the benefit-cost ratios will increase over time, peak for the 20-year time horizon, and then decline for the 30-year time horizon.

• Our cost saving estimates are likely underestimated because:
  – The usual care group has a relatively high quit rate due to the highly effective quitline in California.
  – The participants in the MIQS RCT might have been more motivated to quit smoking than the general Medicaid population.
Q&A

• Submit questions via the chat box
CME/CEU Statement

Accreditation:

The University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) School of Medicine is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

UCSF designates this live activity for a maximum of 1.5 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the webinar activity.

Advance Practice Registered Nurses and Registered Nurses: For the purpose of recertification, the American Nurses Credentialing Center accepts AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™ issued by organizations accredited by the ACCME.

Physician Assistants: The National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants (NCCPA) states that the AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™ are acceptable for continuing medical education requirements for recertification.

California Pharmacists: The California Board of Pharmacy accepts as continuing professional education those courses that meet the standard of relevance to pharmacy practice and have been approved for AMA PRA category 1 Credit™. If you are a pharmacist in another state, you should check with your state board for approval of this credit.

California Marriage & Family Therapists: University of California, San Francisco School of Medicine (UCSF) is approved by the California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists to sponsor continuing education for behavioral health providers. UCSF maintains responsibility for this program/course and its content.

Course meets the qualifications for 1.5 hours of continuing education credit for LMFTs, LCSWs, LPCCs, and/or LEPs as required by the California Board of Behavioral Sciences.

Respiratory Therapists: This program has been approved for a maximum of 1.5 contact hours Continuing Respiratory Care Education (CRCE) credit by the American Association for Respiratory Care, 9425 N. MacArthur Blvd. Suite 100 Irving TX 75063, Course # 180891000.
California Behavioral Health & Wellness Initiative

For our CA residents, we are starting a new venture in CA helping behavioral health organizations go tobacco free and integrating cessation services into existing services thanks to the support of the CTCP.

Free CME/CEUs will be available for all eligible California providers, who joined this live activity. You will receive a separate post-webinar email with instructions to claim credit.

Visit [CABHWI.ucsf.edu](http://CABHWI.ucsf.edu) for more information.
American Association for Respiratory Care (AARC)

- Free Continuing Respiratory Care Education credits (CRCEs) are available to Respiratory Therapists who attend this live webinar
- Instructions on how to claim credit will be included in our post-webinar email
New Behavioral Health Accreditation

California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists (CAMFT)

This webinar is accredited through the CAMFT for up to 1.5 CEUs for the following eligible California providers:

- Licensed Marriage and Family Therapists (LMFTs)
- Licensed Clinical Social Workers (LCSWs)
- Licensed Professional Clinical Counselors (LPCCs)
- Licensed Educational Psychologists (LEPs)

Instructions to claim credit for these CEU opportunities will be included in the post-webinar email and posted to our website.
Post Webinar Information

• You will receive the webinar recording, presentation slides, information on certificates of attendance, and other resources, in our follow-up email. All of this information will be posted to our website.

• FREE CME/CEUs of up to 1.5 credits are available to all attendees who participate in this live session. Instructions will be emailed after the webinar.
Save the Date

- SCLC’s next live webinar, co-hosted with ATTUD
- April 9, 2019 at 11:00 am PST
- Opioids and Tobacco Use, with Dr. Shadi Nahvi, Associate Professor, Departments of Medicine, and of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences at Albert Einstein College of Medicine / Montefiore Health System
- Registration coming soon!
SCLC Recorded Webinar Promotion

SCLC is offering CME/CEUs for our 2016 and 2017 recorded webinar collections for FREE. Each collection includes up to 14 CEUs and up to 10 webinars!

Visit SCLC’s website at: https://smokingcessationleadership.ucsf.edu/celebrating-15-years for more information.
Contact us for technical assistance

• Visit us online at smokingcessationleadership.ucsf.edu
• Call us toll-free at 877-509-3786
• Please complete the post-webinar survey